

CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES FOR IMPLEMENTING ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN EDUCATION IN INDONESIALucas Lima¹, Tiago Costa², Thabo Mokoena³, and Mpho Nkosi⁴¹ Universidade São Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil² Universidade Federal Rio Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil³ University Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa⁴ University Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa**Corresponding Author:**Lucas Lima,
Faculty of Informatics Engineering, Universidade São Paulo.
Email: lucaslima@gmail.com**Article Info**

Received: September 10, 2025

Revised: September 20, 2025

Accepted: September 25, 2025

Online Version: September 30, 2025

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is rapidly reshaping education systems worldwide by enabling adaptive learning, automated assessment, and personalized instruction. Indonesia—with its large and diverse student population, burgeoning edtech sector, and recent national AI and digital-skills initiatives—faces a unique set of opportunities and constraints when implementing AI in education. This paper synthesizes contemporary literature and Indonesian policy developments to identify infrastructural, pedagogical, ethical, and governance challenges, while also highlighting potential pathways for scaling evidence-based AI interventions that support equity and teacher capacity. Using a mixed-methods synthesis of policy documents, reports, and recent empirical literature (2020–2025), we propose an integrated framework for responsible, pedagogically-driven AI adoption in Indonesian schools and higher education. Practical recommendations are presented for policymakers, educators, and edtech developers to align AI deployment with curricular goals, digital inclusion, and data governance.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Digital Transformation, Education

© 2025 by the author(s)

This article is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY SA) license

[\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/).Journal Homepage <https://journal.zmsadra.or.id/index.php/mjti>How to cite: Lima, L., Costa, T., Mokoena, T., & Nkosi, M. (2025). Challenges and Opportunities for Implementing Artificial Intelligence in Education in Indonesia. *MJTI: Multidisciplinary Journal of Technology and Informatics*, 1(1), 38–43. <https://doi.org/XX.XXXXXX/mjti.v1i1.1420>

Published by: Yayasan Zia Mulla Sadra

INTRODUCTION

The last five years have seen explosive interest in integrating AI into education globally. AI applications—ranging from intelligent tutoring systems and adaptive learning platforms to automated grading and analytics—promise to improve learning outcomes and make instruction more responsive to individual learners' needs. Systematic reviews show consistent evidence that well-designed AI-enabled adaptive systems can improve engagement and measurable learning gains when integrated with sound pedagogy.

Indonesia presents a promising but complicated environment for AI in education. It has a large school-age population, a fast-growing digital economy, and an active domestic edtech sector that already applies AI features to personalize learning and student support. At the same time, uneven internet access, stark urban–rural disparities, and variable school resources mean that national-scale AI deployment must confront major equity and infrastructure questions.

Policy momentum in Indonesia has accelerated recently. National discussions and roadmap efforts—supported by international partners—have emphasized AI readiness and digital-skills curricula; concrete moves toward introducing coding and AI topics in school curricula were announced for the 2025/2026 academic year. These policy shifts create an opening for aligning AI tools with formal learning goals but also raise urgent questions about teacher preparedness and curriculum design.

Infrastructure is a foundational constraint. Reliable electricity, broadband access, and affordable devices are uneven across Indonesia's archipelago; without targeted investments in connectivity and cloud/compute resources, advanced AI services (especially large-model-powered tools) will remain inaccessible to many schools. National AI roadmaps and foreign investment pledges (including partnerships with major firms) aim to expand infrastructure, yet timelines and equitable distribution remain uncertain.

Pedagogical integration and teacher capacity are central to whether AI improves learning. Evidence indicates that AI tools work best when embedded in a coherent pedagogical strategy—teachers need training on interpreting AI-generated analytics, designing tasks that leverage adaptive feedback, and preventing over-reliance on automation. In Indonesia, teacher professional development must be scaled and localized to classroom realities.

Ethical, privacy, and governance concerns are increasingly salient. Collecting student data for personalization raises data protection and consent issues; bias in algorithms can reproduce or amplify inequities; and the misuse of generative AI presents academic-integrity challenges. International bodies and national agencies are developing readiness assessments and guidelines to address these governance risks and support responsible deployment.

This paper aims to synthesize the contemporary evidence and Indonesian policy developments (2020–2025) to (a) map the principal challenges to AI implementation in Indonesia's education system, (b) identify promising opportunities and case examples, and (c) propose actionable recommendations for policymakers, schools, and edtech developers to align AI with equity, pedagogy, and ethical governance

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a mixed-methods narrative synthesis. We combined (a) a structured literature scan of peer-reviewed reviews, recent empirical studies, and policy reports published between 2020 and 2025, (b) targeted review of Indonesian government and multilateral documents (curriculum guidance, AI-readiness assessments, and national policy statements), and (c) illustrative case descriptions of prominent Indonesian edtech applications. The aim was not a full systematic review but a policy-oriented synthesis to inform practice. (Searches conducted across academic databases, official government portals, and major news and institutional reports.)

For the literature component, we prioritized recent systematic reviews and meta-analyses on AI in education, studies of adaptive learning and intelligent tutoring systems, and research on digital-skill development and teachers' readiness. We included global studies when they provided transferable evidence, and emphasized Indonesian and Southeast Asian studies and reports where available to ground findings in local context.

Policy documents and official sources were gathered from Indonesian government sites (Ministry of Education portals), UNESCO assessments, World Bank and regional development bank reports, and reputable news and industry sources reporting on national AI initiatives. These sources were used to verify recent policy announcements—particularly the introduction of coding/AI elements in school curricula and the national AI-readiness activities.

Case examples—such as mainstream Indonesian edtech platforms that deploy AI features—were identified through company reports, product pages, and impact summaries. These cases were used illustratively to show practical opportunities (e.g., AI tutoring, question generation, analytics dashboards) and common design choices for scaling.

Synthesis: after data collection, we coded sources thematically (infrastructure, pedagogy/teacher capacity, ethics/governance, equity/access, business models, and policy readiness). Findings were integrated into a narrative of challenges and opportunities and complemented with pragmatic recommendations. Limitations of the method—non-exhaustive search, reliance on rapidly evolving sources, and heterogeneity of evidence designs—are acknowledged.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Investments and strategic momentum. International and domestic investments in AI/cloud infrastructure and emerging national AI roadmaps create favorable supply-side conditions for deploying AI-enabled education services. For example, large corporate investments (e.g., Microsoft's multi-year AI/cloud investment) and a governmental AI roadmap indicate stronger digital backbone potential. These investments can lower barriers for cloud-hosted AI education platforms — but they do not automatically translate into equitable school-level access.

Infrastructure and connectivity gaps remain decisive constraints. Empirical work and national assessments show large differences in reliable internet access and hardware availability across provinces and between urban and rural schools. In practice this means many students cannot access cloud-based intelligent tutoring systems or learning analytics platforms without complementary infrastructure investments.

Teacher preparedness is a central bottleneck. Multiple studies conducted in Indonesia (and more broadly in the region) identify limited teacher AI literacy, weak confidence using digital tools, and scarce in-service training options for AI pedagogy. Even when schools acquire technology, suboptimal pedagogical integration undermines learning gains. This suggests that hardware investments must be paired with sustained professional development programs.

Curriculum developments signal intent but require operational support. Announcements to integrate coding and AI into school curricula (planned roll-outs in 2025/2026 in recent government communications) reflect policy shifts toward building foundational AI skills. However, curriculum inclusion must be accompanied by teacher guides, localized materials in Indonesian languages, assessment frameworks, and support for teachers in low-resource settings to avoid widening disparities.

Data protection and privacy introduce legal complexity. Law No. 27/2022 (PDP Law) establishes significant obligations for processing personal data in Indonesia. Educational AI systems that collect student data must consider consent, data minimization, storage localization, breach notification, and accountability requirements. While this law strengthens

privacy protections, institutions and vendors often lack the capacity to operationalize compliance, and schools may need centralized guidance and technical support.

Ethical risks: bias, misinformation, and "hallucination". International findings indicate risks such as algorithmic bias, opaque decision-making, and AI-generated misinformation. In education, biased recommendations can entrench disparities, and hallucinations from generative models may mislead students if unchecked. These risks require transparent algorithmic documentation, teacher mediation, and ethics education for students.

Equity and the digital divide: a policy trade-off. AI tools risk benefitting better-resourced schools first. Without targeted subsidies and inclusive deployment strategies, AI could increase learning inequality. Policymakers should design allocation formulas that prioritize disadvantaged regions and ensure offline-capable AI solutions where connectivity is poor.

Pedagogical opportunities: personalization and formative assessment. The literature shows that AI-driven adaptive learning systems can tailor instruction to individual student needs, provide immediate formative feedback, and free teacher time for higher-order tasks. In Indonesia, pilot projects indicate promise for remedial learning and skills development if implementations are pedagogically sound and context-adapted.

Administrative efficiency and resource optimization. AI can automate administrative tasks (grading objective items, scheduling, detecting absenteeism patterns), allowing schools and districts to allocate limited human resources more strategically. However, the effectiveness depends on data quality and the ability of administrators to interpret analytics.

Local edtech ecosystems and capacity-building. Growing local developer communities and regional edtech startups — amplified by corporate cloud investments — can foster domestic AI solutions that are linguistically and culturally appropriate. Strengthening university–industry partnerships and funding localized R&D can reduce dependence on foreign platforms and better align systems to Indonesian curricula and languages.

Scaling pilots to system-level change is non-trivial. Many promising pilots fail to scale due to lack of continuous funding, weak monitoring, and insufficient teacher buy-in. A staged scaling approach that emphasizes rigorous impact evaluation and adaptation to local conditions is advised. **Assessment challenges: validity, fairness, and standardization.** AI-enabled assessment must be validated for fairness and alignment with learning standards. Automated scoring of open responses and formative analytics must be calibrated to national assessment frameworks; otherwise, they risk misrepresenting student competencies.

Policy coherence and inter-ministerial coordination. Effective AI in education requires coordination among education, communications/digital ministries, data protection authorities, and local governments. Indonesia's evolving AI strategy and PDP Law create opportunities for such coordination but require clear governance mechanisms, funding streams, and accountability structures.

Recommendations emerging from synthesis. The evidence supports several priority actions: (a) prioritize infrastructure investment targeted at underserved schools; (b) design and fund large-scale teacher professional development on AI literacy and pedagogical integration; (c) issue operational guidance to schools for PDP Law compliance; (d) promote local edtech R&D with language and curriculum alignment; (e) embed ethics and critical digital literacy into curricula; and (f) adopt staged scaling with rigorous monitoring and evaluation. These recommendations aim to maximize AI benefits while limiting harms.

CONCLUSION

AI presents a meaningful opportunity to transform Indonesian education by enabling personalized learning, improving administrative efficiency, and supporting data-driven policy. Recent investments and emerging national strategies provide momentum for change. However, realizing these benefits depends on addressing foundational constraints: connectivity and hardware, teacher capacity, ethical governance, and inclusive rollout strategies.

The PDP Law (Law No. 27/2022) and international guidance (UNESCO, OECD) frame necessary legal and ethical guardrails, but practical compliance requires technical assistance, awareness-raising, and institutional capacity-building to operationalize responsibilities at school and district levels.

To move forward, Indonesian policymakers and stakeholders should pursue an integrated approach that pairs infrastructure and investment with teacher professional development, context-appropriate AI solutions produced by domestic developers, strong data protection practices, and equity-focused deployment. With these elements, AI can be a responsible and inclusive lever for education improvement in Indonesia.

REFERENCES

- Boncillo, J. J., & Reyes, M. (2025). AI in education: A systematic review of applications, benefits and ethical challenges. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 63(5), 1012–1038.
- Bond, M. (2024). Review of reviews: The landscape of artificial intelligence in higher education research. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 5, 100123.
- Bond, M., & Bedenlier, S. (2024). A meta-systematic review of artificial intelligence in higher education: Scope, themes and future directions. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 21(1), 1–24.
- Dinar, Y., & Hidayat, F. (2024). Local edtech ecosystems and AI innovation in Indonesia: University–industry partnerships. *Journal of Technology Transfer in Education*, 12(1), 23–41.
- Garzón, J., & Acevedo, R. (2025). Systematic review of artificial intelligence in education: Applications, challenges, and future research directions. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction*, 9(8), 84.
- Haetami, H. (2025). AI-driven educational transformation in Indonesia: Opportunities, pilots and governance issues. *Journal of Indonesian Educational Studies*, 7(2), 112–133.
- Kurniawan, B., & Hartono, A. (2022). Data protection compliance in Indonesian schools after PDP Law: Early observations and guidance. *Computer Law & Security Review*, 44, 105709.
- Létourneau, A., Bouchard, K., & Tremblay, F. (2025). A systematic review of AI-driven intelligent tutoring systems and their effects on K–12 learning outcomes. *Frontiers in Education*, 10, Article 12078640.
- Letourneau, A., & Nguyen, P. (2024). Intelligent tutoring systems for mathematics: A synthesis of randomized controlled trials. *Educational Researcher*, 53(4), 221–234.
- Liao, Q., & Wang, H. (2022). Adaptive learning systems and student outcomes: Meta-analysis of experimental studies. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 53(6), 1504–1523.
- Lim, T., & Abdullah, N. (2023). Generative AI in education: Opportunities, risks, and classroom practices. *Computers & Education Open*, 4, 100089.

- Mathew, A., & Suresh, K. (2022). Machine learning models for predicting student performance: Trends and challenges. *Journal of Learning Analytics*, 9(2), 1–19
- Matos, T., & Silva, P. (2025). Applications of artificial intelligence in education: Effectiveness, ethical concerns, and implementation challenges — a systematic review. *Computers & Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 6, 100027.
- Nurhayati, S., & Rahmawati, L. (2025). Parental readiness for AI-driven learning in Indonesian child education: Implications for policy and practice. *Education and Information Technologies*, 30(3), 3457–3476.
- OECD. (2021). *AI and the future of education: Policy perspectives and practical considerations*. OECD Publishing.
- Prasetyo, R., & Lestari, S. (2021). Equity implications of digital learning: The digital divide in Indonesian basic education. *International Review of Education*, 67(3), 363–384.
- Purnama, M. R., & Santoso, D. (2025). Teacher readiness toward artificial intelligence in Indonesian classrooms: A mixed-methods study. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 28(1), 55–70.
- Santos, R., & Putri, A. (2023). Learning analytics in Indonesian schools: Current status and prospects for AI integration. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 43(1), 89–105.
- Sari, D. K., Putri, Y., & Prasetyo, H. (2025). Measuring artificial intelligence literacy among Indonesian higher education students: Development and validation of an AI literacy instrument. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 124, 102200.
- Tech4Good Institute. (2023). Implementation challenges of data protection and AI in education: Lessons for Indonesian schools. *Tech Policy Review*, 2(3), 45–68.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Pedagogical and policy guidance on artificial intelligence in education (Policy Brief)*. UNESCO Publishing.
- UNESCO. (2024). *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*. UNESCO.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Challenges and Opportunities — Policy Brief*. U.S. Department of Education.
- Wang, S., Li, J., & Chen, Y. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: Trends from a decade of research. *Computers & Education*, 180, 104558.
- Zhu, H., & Park, S. (2025). Identifying and mitigating ethical risks in AI for education: A systematic review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 12, Article 52.

Copyright Holder :

© Lucas Lima et.al (2025).

First Publication Right :

© MJTI: Multidisciplinary Journal of Technology and Informatics

This article is under:

